

Remarks/Arguments

In response to the office action of April 10, 2007 and the telephone interview of October 5, 2007 claim 1 has been amended to include the limitations of the reservoir being contained entirely within the body, and the reservoir being fixed to the body. Support for the claim language may be found in original claim 6 and in paragraph [0015] of the specification.

As discussed during the telephone interview the references cited in the rejection of claim 2 do not contain the element of the "ring at the terminus," therefore applicant respectfully requests the rejection be withdrawn.

Likewise applicant respectfully suggests that the references cited in the rejection of claim 10 do not contain the element of the "cannula or catheter" being "permanently affixed to the reservoir," therefore applicant respectfully requests the rejection be withdrawn.

Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the rejections, allowance of the claims, and that a timely notice of allowance be issued in the application.

Respectfully Submitted,



David M. Gange  
Registration Number 55,198  
609-730-1800 phone  
609-730-1818 fax